1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED ST	CATES DISTRICT COURT
9	Northern District of California	
10	San Francisco Division	
11	MARIA GOMEZ,	No. C12-06545 LB
12	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY
13	SIBYLLE COE,	DISMISSAL
14	Defendant.	[Re: ECF No. 23]
15	——————————————————————————————————————	/
16	Plaintiff filed her complaint against Defendant on December 27, 2012, and Defendant answered	
17	it on May 14, 2013. Complaint, ECF No. 1; Answer, ECF No. 9. Now, Plaintiff has filed a notice of	
18	voluntary dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a). Notice, ECF No. 23. Under	
19	Rule 41(a), however, Plaintiff cannot simply dismiss her action by filing a notice; instead, because	
20	Defendant answered Plaintiff's complaint, the parties must file a stipulation of dismissal. <i>Compare</i>	
21	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i) with Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). Accordingly, if the parties wish	
22	to dismiss this action, they are directed to file a stipulation doing so. This can be accomplished if	
23	Defendant files a separate notice stipulating to the dismissal.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: September 6, 2013	
26		LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
27		Office Dutes Mugistuc Judge
28		

C 12-06545 LB ORDER